1	JAMES P. KEMP, ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 006375		
	KEMP & KEMP		
3	7435 West Azure Drive, Suite 110		
4	Las Vegas, NV 89130 (702) 258-1183 / (702) 258-6983 Fax		
4	jp@kemp-attorneys.com		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		

8	MARTHA SANCHEZ,		
9	Plaintiff,	C N 2:10 ov 01612 KID I DI	
10	vs.	Case No.: 2:10-cv-01612-KJD-LRL	
10		DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING	
11	BERGENSONS PROPERTY SERVICES, INC., a Delaware Corporation;	ORDER [Special Scheduling Review Requested]	
12	ADMINISTAFF COMPANIES II, LIMITED	[openial concounting from frequences]	
	PARTNERSHIP, A Delaware Limited		
13	Partnership; ROE business organizations I-X;		
14	DOE individuals I-X,		
T-T	Defendants.		
15	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f), Defendants BERGENSONS		
16	Tursuant to reactar Rule of Civil Floces	iure 20(1), Detendants DENGENSONS	

PROPERTY SERVICES, INC. and ADMINISTAFF COMPANIES II, LIMITED

PARTNERSHIP and Plaintiff, MARTHA SANCHEZ, through their respective counsel, submit the following proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order.

(1) <u>Discovery Cut Off Date</u>. The parties request a discovery period of one hundred and eighty (180) days from December 21, 2010, the date the Early Neutral Evaluation will be conducted. Counsel for both sides would like to push depositions and more costly discovery out past the ENE to see if a settlement can be reached at the ENE. In addition, some of the witnesses reside out of state and it will take longer to coordinate schedules and make travel arrangements for these depositions. This can likely be done more efficiently after the upcoming holidays are past. Therefore, the parties request that the discovery period close on **June 20, 2011.**

- (2) <u>Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties</u>. The date for filing motions to amend the pleadings or to add parties shall not be later than ninety (90) days prior to the close of discovery: **March 22, 2011.**
- (3) <u>Expert Witness Disclosures</u>. The disclosure of any expert witnesses shall be made sixty (60) days before the discovery deadline: **April 21, 2011**. The disclosures of any rebuttal experts shall be due thirty (30) days after the initial disclosures of experts: **May 23, 2011**. The requirements of F.R.C.P. 26(a) (2) (B) shall apply to any such disclosures.
- (4) <u>Dispositive Motions.</u> Dispositive motions shall be filed not later than thirty (30) days after the discovery cut-off date: **July 20, 2011**.
- (5) <u>Pretrial Order</u>. The Joint Pretrial Order shall be filed not later than thirty (30) days after the date set for filing dispositive motions: **August 19, 2011**. However, in the event that dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the Joint Pretrial Order shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after a decision on the dispositive motions or further order of the Court.
- (6) <u>Rule 26(a) (3) Disclosures</u>. The disclosures required by Rule 26(a) (3) and any objection thereto shall be included in the Pretrial Order.

The parties will make their Initial Disclosures on or before **December 17, 2010**. No changes need to be made in the timing, form or requirements for such disclosures.

(7) <u>Electronically Stored Information</u>. The parties have undertaken efforts to retain any electronically stored information relevant to this matter and have agreed that the party producing any electronically stored information need not provide such information in any certain form as long as the form provides the other party (ies) reasonable access to the information. The parties have further agreed that, to the extent any party seeks electronically stored information that would be cumulative, burdensome or unduly costly to produce, counsel for the parties will

Case 2:10-cv-01612-KJD-LRL Document 19 Filed 12/17/10 Page 3 of 3

1	confer in good faith to reach a mutual agreement regarding the production of such information	
2	(8) <u>Protection of Privileged/Trial I</u>	Preparation Materials. The parties prefer to handle
3	these issues on an ad hoc basis as no consensus can be reached in advance.	
4	(9) <u>Subjects on Which Discovery</u>	Will be Conducted. The parties are in agreement
5	that discovery will be needed on the Plaintiff's claims, Defendants' denials and the affirmative	
7	defenses raised in the Answers. No changes should be made on the limitations of discovery	
8	imposed under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or local rules.	
9	Discovery does not need to be conducted in phases or limited or focused on particular	
10	issues.	
11	Dated this 15 th Day of December 2010	
12	/s/ James P. Kemp	/s/ Scott M. Mahoney
13	James P. Kemp, Esq. KEMP & KEMP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	Scott M. Mahoney, Esq. FISHER & PHILLIP LLP
14	7435 West Azure Drive, Suite 110	3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 950
15	Las Vegas, NV 89130 Attorney for Plaintiff	Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorneys for Defendant
16 17		Administaff Companies II, Limited Partnership
18	/s/_Bradley S. Schrager	
19	Bradley S. Schrager, Esq. JONES VARGAS 3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Third Floor So. Las Vegas, NV 89169	
20		
21	Attorney for Defendant	
22	Bergensons Property Services, Inc.	IT IS SO ORDERED:
23		47 Leavid
24	-	
25		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		Dated:
27		
28		